

Note

On Approximation by Rational Functions

We prove here the following

THEOREM. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_0 > 0$, $a_k \geq 0$ ($k \geq 1$), be an entire function, and denote $M(r) = \text{Max}_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$. Let constants $K(0 < K < 1)$, $C(>1)$, and $\epsilon(>0)$ be such that, with $\theta = 1 + \epsilon + \pi^2 (\log C)^{-1} (\log K^{-1})^{-1}$, we have

$$M((1 + K)r) > \{M((1 - K)r)\}^\theta \tag{1}$$

for all large r . Then for any polynomials ($\neq 0$) $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ of degree at most n , one has, for all large n ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \right\|_{L_\infty[0, \infty)} \geq C^{-2n\theta}. \tag{2}$$

LEMMA ([1], pp. 450-451): If $0 < K < 1$, and

$$\text{Max}_{x \in [-1, -K]} \left| \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} \right| \leq M,$$

then

$$\text{Min}_{x \in [K, 1]} \left| \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} \right| \leq M \exp \left(\frac{\pi^2 n}{\log 1/K} \right).$$

Proof of the Theorem: Let us assume (2) is not true. Then for infinitely many n , and each $r > 0$,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \right\|_{L_\infty[0, 2r]} < C^{-2n\theta}. \tag{3}$$

Let $x_1 = r(1 - K)$; then we can find $r > 0$ and n such that

$$f(x_1) = C^n. \tag{4}$$

From (3) and (4) it follows that

$$\text{Max}_{[0, x_1]} \left| \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} \right| < C^n \log n. \tag{5}$$

For $x_2 = r(1 + K)$, we get by (1),

$$f(x_2) > [f(x_1)]^9. \quad (6)$$

By applying the lemma to (5), we obtain

$$\text{Min}_{[x_2, 2r]} \left| \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} \right| \leq C^n \log n \exp \left(\frac{\pi^2 n}{\log 1/K} \right). \quad (7)$$

Let us assume that at $x_3 \in [x_2, 2r]$, $|Q(x)/P(x)|$ attains its minimum in $[x_2, 2r]$. Then clearly $f(x_3) \geq f(x_2)$. Hence from (6) and (7), with $\epsilon > ((\log \log n)/(\log C)n)$, we get

$$C^{-2n\theta} < C^{-n} (\log n)^{-1} \exp \left(\frac{-\pi^2 n}{\log 1/K} \right) - C^{-n\theta} \leq \frac{P(x_3)}{Q(x_3)} - \frac{1}{f(x_3)}. \quad (8)$$

(8) contradicts (3), and the theorem is proved.

Remarks. Newman [2] has established (2), for $f(z) = e^z$, with a better constant, by a different method. If $f(z)$ is of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$), type τ , and lower type ω ($0 < \omega \leq \tau < \infty$), then clearly (1) is valid.

REFERENCES

1. A. A. GONCAR, Estimates of the growth of rational functions and some of their applications. *Math. Sbornik*, **1** (1967), No. 3, 445-456.
2. D. J. NEWMAN, Rational approximation to e^{-x} , *J. Approximation Theory* **10** (1974), 301-303.

Communicated by Oved Shisha

A. R. REDDY
*School of Mathematics
 The Institute for Advanced Study
 Princeton, N.J. 08540*